

## CONDITIONAL MEASURES ON MV-ALGEBRAS

Martin Kalina, Oľga Nánásiová

*Dept. of Mathematic, Slovak Univ. of Technology  
Radlinského 11, 813 68 Bratislava, Slovakia  
[kalina@math.sk](mailto:kalina@math.sk), [olga@math.sk](mailto:olga@math.sk)*

**Abstract** In recent years many papers have been written generalizing some theorems, known from the Kolmogorovian probability theory, to MV-algebras. To achieve such results, so-called product MV-algebras were introduced and, using the product, the joint probability distribution was defined. In this paper we present an approach how to define the joint distributions on MV-algebras which are not necessarily closed under product. First we construct conditional measures on a given MV-algebra. And using these conditional measures we define the joint probability distributions.

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 1.1.** An MV-algebra is 5-tuple  $(M, \oplus, *, \emptyset, 1)$  such that  $(M, \oplus, \emptyset)$  is an Abelian monoid and moreover

- $x^{**} = x$
- $\emptyset^* = 1$
- $x \oplus 1 = 1$
- $(x^* \oplus y)^* \oplus y = (y^* \oplus x)^* \oplus x$

Moreover for all  $x, y \in M$  we can define

$$\begin{aligned} x \otimes y &= (x^* \oplus y^*)^* \\ x \wedge y &= (x \oplus y^*) \otimes y \\ x \vee y &= (x \otimes y^*) \oplus y \end{aligned}$$

and then  $(M, \vee, \wedge, \emptyset, 1)$  is a bounded distributive lattice.

**Example 1.1.** ([2], [13]) Denote  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{S})$  a measurable space and  $\mu$  some normed measure on that space. Then if we put  $M$  a system of  $[0,1]$ -valued  $\mathfrak{S}$ -measurable functions, closed under the following operations

$$\begin{aligned} f \oplus g(x) &= \min(1, f(x) + g(x)) \\ f \otimes g(x) &= \max(0, f(x) + g(x) - 1) \\ f^*(x) &= 1 - f(x), \end{aligned}$$

and such that  $\emptyset \in M$ , then  $M$  is an MV-algebra.

In this paper we will deal just with the MV-algebra  $M$  from Example 1.1. Denote  $\nu(f) = \int f d\mu$ , then  $\nu$  is an additive measure on  $M$ , i.e.

$$\nu(f \oplus g) = \nu(f) + \nu(g) \quad (1)$$

if  $f \leq (1 - g)$

**Definition 1.2.** Events  $f, g \in M$  will be called  $\nu$ -orthogonal (for short just orthogonal) if  $\nu(f \wedge g) = 0$ .

### 2. CONSTRUCTION OF CONDITIONAL MEASURES ON MV-ALGEBRAS

**Definition 2.1.** For any  $g \in M$  such that  $\nu(g) > 0$  we say that  $\gamma(\cdot|g)$  is a conditional measure if and only if  $\gamma(\cdot|g)$  and  $\gamma(\cdot|g^*)$  are non-negative functions on  $M$ , bounded from above by 1, such that

- A.  $\nu(f) = \nu(g)\gamma(f|g) + \nu(g^*)\gamma(f|g^*)$ , for any  $f \in M$
- B.  $\gamma(f|h) = 0$ , for all  $f, h \in M$ , which are orthogonal to each other

Definition 2.1. immediately implies the following

**Lemma 2.1.** For any  $g \in M$  such that  $\nu(g) > 0$  there holds  $\gamma(1|g) = 1$

**Definition 2.2.** Denote  $T$  the system of all transformations  $\tau: M \rightarrow [0,1]^{\Omega}$  such that for each  $f \in M$

1.  $\tau$  is  $\mathfrak{S}$ -measurable
2.  $\int f d\mu = \int \tau(f) d\mu$
3. for any  $x \in \Omega$   $f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tau(f)(x) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\tau_2 \in T$  be such that for any  $g \in M$   $\tau_2(g^*) = 1 - \tau_2(g)$  and  $\tau_1 \in T$  be an arbitrary transformation. Define for any  $f, g \in M$

$$\gamma(f|g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \nu(g) = 0 \\ \nu(f) & \nu(g) = 1 \\ \frac{\int \tau_1(f)\tau_2(g)d\mu}{\int \tau_2(g)d\mu} & 0 < \nu(g) < 1 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Then for any  $g \in M$  such that  $\nu(g) > 0$ ,  $\gamma(\cdot|g)$  is a conditional measure.

Throughout this paper we will always denote by  $\gamma(f|g)$  the measure given by Formula (2).

**Definition 2.3.** We will say that event  $f$  is independent of  $g$  with respect to a conditional measure  $\gamma$  if and only if  $\nu(f) = \gamma(f|g)$ .

In the sequel  $\gamma$  will always denote the conditional measure defined by Formula (2) from Theorem 2.2.

The independence of event  $f$  of  $g$  does not imply the independence of the event  $g$  of the event  $f$ . This non-symmetric relation of independence allows us to distinguish between a cause and its effects. Similar results concerning the ortho-modular lattices have been achieved also by O. Nánásiová in [5]-[8].

Once having defined for any pair  $f, g$  of elements of the MV-algebra  $M$  the measure  $\gamma(f|g)$ , which is the conditional measure if  $\nu(g) > 0$ , we can define also the two-dimensional joint distribution on  $M \times M$  - the measure (probability) of occurrence of this pair  $f, g$ . This, in fact represents the interaction of  $f$  and  $g$ . And the interaction can be different if we change the order.

**Definition 2.4.** The measure of interaction of a pair  $f, g \in M$  will be denoted by  $p(f, g)$  and defined as  $p(f, g) = \gamma(f|g)\gamma(g|f)$ .

If  $\gamma$  is defined as in Theorem 2.1 we get  $p(f, g) = \int_{\Omega} \tau_1(f)\tau_2(g) d\mu$  where  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are given transformations  $T$  such that  $\tau_2(g^*) = 1 - \tau_2(g)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $p$  be a measure of interaction on the MV-algebra  $M$  and  $f, g$  be any elements of  $M$ . Then  $p(f, 1) = p(1, f) = \nu(f)$   
 $p(f, g) = p(g, f) = 0$ , if  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\nu$ -orthogonal  
 $p(f, g) \leq \min(\nu(f), \nu(g))$ , particularly  
 $p(f, f) \leq \nu(f)$   
 the variables of  $p$  do not commute, i.e. in general  $p(f, g) \neq p(g, f)$ .

**Example 2.1.** Assume that  $\Omega = [0,1]$  and  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure. For any element  $f \in M$  put  $\tau_2(f) = f$  and the transformation  $\tau_1$  will be defined by the following

$$\tau_1(f)(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & f(x) = 1 \\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \\ \frac{\int_A f(x) d\mu}{\mu(A)} & \text{otherwise, where} \\ & A = \{x; 0 < f(x) < 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $f(x) = x$  and  $g(x) = \max(0, x - 0.5)$ . Then

	$x = 0$	$x = 1$	$0 < x < 1$
$(\tau_1(f))(x)$	0	1	0.5
	$x \leq 0.5$	$x > 0.5$	
$(\tau_1(g))(x)$	0	0.25	

Then

$$p(f, g) = \int_0^1 0.5g d\mu = 0.5 \int_{0.5}^1 (0.5 - x) d\mu = \frac{1}{16}$$

$$p(g, f) = \int_{0.5}^1 0.25x d\mu = \frac{3}{32}$$

$$p(f, f) = \int_0^1 0.5x d\mu = \frac{3}{16}$$

$$p(g, g) = \int_{0.5}^1 0.25(x - 0.5) d\mu = \frac{1}{16}$$

### 3. SOME COMMENTS CONCERNING OBSERVABLES AND THEIR JOINT DISTRIBUTION

First we recall the definition of a tribe and of an observable.

**Definition 3.1.** An MV-algebra  $M$  will be called a tribe iff for any non-decreasing sequence of elements

$$\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{ there holds } \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i = f \in M.$$

From now on we will assume the MV-algebra to be a tribe.

**Definition 3.2.** An observable is a mapping  $\lambda$  from Borel sets  $B(R)$  into the MV-algebra  $M$  such that

$$\lambda(R) = 1.$$

If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $\lambda(A \cup B) = \lambda(A) \oplus \lambda(B)$  and  $\lambda(A) \leq \lambda(B^*)$ .

If  $A_n \uparrow A$ , then  $\lambda(A_n) \uparrow \lambda(A)$ .

In a natural way for each observable  $\lambda$  we can define also its cumulative distributive function  $F_\lambda$  and its expectation  $E(\lambda)$  by  $F_\lambda(x) = \nu(\lambda((-\infty, x]))$

$$E(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x F_\lambda(dx).$$

And, making a parallel to the measure of interaction  $p$  from Definition 2.4, we can define the joint probability distribution  $P_{\lambda\kappa}$  for any pair of observables  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  by

$$P_{\lambda\kappa}(A, B) = p(\lambda(A), \kappa(B))$$

where  $A, B$  are Borel sets. This can be interpreted as the measure of interaction of the observables  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$ . The basic properties of  $P_{\lambda\kappa}$  can be just rewritten from Theorem 2.2.

It is also possible to define the mean interaction of the observables  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$ , denoted by  $C(\lambda, \kappa)$ , as follows

$$C(\lambda, \kappa) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - E(\lambda))(x - E(\kappa)) dF_{\lambda, \kappa}(x, x)$$

where  $F_{\lambda, \kappa}(x, y) = P_{\lambda, \kappa}((-\infty, x], (-\infty, y])$  is the joint cumulative probability distribution. The investigation of the joint probability distributions (the interactions) of observables and of the corresponding mean interactions will be the topic of a next paper. Here we would like to point just to one very important property of the introduced notions, namely to the non-commutativity of the variables (observables) in the measure of interaction  $F_{\lambda, \kappa}$  and in the mean interaction  $C(\lambda, \kappa)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.C. CHANG: Algebraic analysis of many valued logics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1958), 467-490
- [2] C.C. CHANG: A new proof of the completeness of the Lukasiewicz axioms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93 (1959), 74-80.
- [3] F. Chovanec: States and observables on MV-algebras. *Tatra Mountains Math. Publ.* 3 (1993), 55-63.
- [4] M. Jurečková, B. Riečan, Weak law of large numbers for weak observables in MV algebras, *Tatra Mountains Math. Publ.* 12 (1997), 221 -- 228.
- [5] O. Nánásiová: A note to independent events on quantum logic. *Busefal*, 76, (1998), 53-57.
- [6] O. Nánásiová: Principle conditioning. *Sent to Int. Jour. of Theor. Phys.* (2000)
- [7] O. Nánásiová, A. Yu. Khrennikov: Observables on a quantum logic. *Foundations of Probability an Physics -2*, Conf. Proc. 005, ICMM, Wajxo, Sweden, (2002), 417-431.
- [8] O. Nánásiová: Map for simultaneous measurements for a quantum logic. *Int. Jour. Of Theor. Phys.* 42, (2003), 1998-1902.
- [9] B. Riečan: On the sum of observables in MV algebras of fuzzy sets. *Tatra Mountains Math. Publ.* 14, (1998), 225- 232.
- [10] B. Riečan: On the strong law of large numbers for weak observables in MV algebras. *Tatra Mountains Math. Publ.* 15 (1998), 13- 21.
- [11] B. Riečan: Weak observables in MV algebras. *Internat. J Theoret. Phys.* 37 (1998), 183 - 189.
- [12] B. Riečan: On the product MV algebras. *Tatra Mountains Math. Publ.* 16 (1999), 143 - 149.
- [13] B. Riečan, T. Neubrun: *Integral, Measure, and Ordering.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Ister Science, Bratislava, (1997).